Proposed Domestic Building Environmental Standards (Scotland) Bill

Introduction

A proposal for a Bill to introduce new minimum environmental design standards for all new-build housing to meet the Passivhaus standard or a Scottish equivalent in order to improve energy efficiency and thermal performance.

The consultation runs from 4 May 2022 to 27 July 2022

All those wishing to respond to the consultation are strongly encouraged to enter their responses electronically through this survey. This makes collation of responses much simpler and quicker. However, the option also exists of sending in a separate response (in hard copy or by other electronic means such as e-mail), and details of how to do so are included in the member's consultation document.

Questions marked with an asterisk (*) require an answer.

All responses must include a name and contact details. Names will only be published if you give us permission, and contact details are never published – but we may use them to contact you if there is a query about your response. If you do not include a name and/or contact details, we may have to disregard your response.

Please note that you must complete the survey in order for your response to be accepted. If you don't wish to complete the survey in a single session, you can choose "Save and Continue later" at any point. Whilst you have the option to skip particular questions, you must continue to the end of the survey and press "Submit" to have your response fully recorded.

Please ensure you have read the consultation document before responding to any of the questions that follow. In particular, you should read the information contained in the document about how your response will be handled. The consultation document is available here:

Consultation Document

Privacy Notice

I confirm that I have read and understood the Privacy Notice which explains how my personal data will be used.

About you

Please choose whether you are responding as an individual or on behalf of an organisation. Note: If you choose "individual" and consent to have the response published, it will appear under your own name. If you choose "on behalf of an organisation" and consent to have the response published, it will be published under the organisation's name.

an individual

Which of the following best describes you? (If you are a professional or academic, but not in a subject relevant to the consultation, please choose "Member of the public".)

Politician (MSP/MP/peer/MEP/Councillor)

Please select the ca	ategory which best describes y	our organisation	
No Response			
Please choose one	of the following:		
I am content for this	s response to be published and	attributed to me or my organisatio	n
if you are submitting (Note: the name will	g a response on its behalf). Il not be published if you have a	r organisation. (Only give the names sked for the response to be anony published with your response).	-
Councillor Brian Le	eishman		
	ails of a way in which we can cout you can also provide a post	ntact you if there are queries regal address or phone number.	ording your response.
We will not publish	these details.		
		wers to the questions sponse is "not for pu	
ay be publis Q1. Which of the fo	shed (unless your re	-	blication").
ay be publis	shed (unless your re	sponse is "not for pu	blication").
Q1. Which of the focompulsory. Fully supportive Please explain the	shed (unless your re	sponse is "not for pu	blication").
Q1. Which of the focompulsory. Fully supportive Please explain the Houses need to be	ched (unless your response. The reasons for your response. The built more efficiently.	sponse is "not for pu w of the proposed Bill? Please not e other ways in which the propose	te that this question is
Q1. Which of the focompulsory. Fully supportive Please explain the Houses need to be	shed (unless your response.	sponse is "not for pu w of the proposed Bill? Please not e other ways in which the propose	te that this question is
Q1. Which of the focompulsory. Fully supportive Please explain the Houses need to be Q2. Do you think leachieved more effe	ched (unless your response. The reasons for your response. The built more efficiently.	sponse is "not for pu w of the proposed Bill? Please not e other ways in which the propose	te that this question is
Q1. Which of the focompulsory. Fully supportive Please explain the Houses need to be Q2. Do you think leachieved more effe No Response	ched (unless your response. controllowing best expresses your views of the reasons for your response. controllowing best expresses your views of the reasons for your response. controllowing best expresses your views of the reasons for your response. controllowing best expresses your views of the reasons for your response.	sponse is "not for pu w of the proposed Bill? Please not e other ways in which the propose	te that this question is de Bill's aims could be
Q1. Which of the focompulsory. Fully supportive Please explain the Houses need to be Q2. Do you think leachieved more effe No Response	ched (unless your response. controllowing best expresses your views of the reasons for your response. controllowing best expresses your views of the reasons for your response. controllowing best expresses your views of the reasons for your response. controllowing best expresses your views of the reasons for your response.	w of the proposed Bill? Please not be other ways in which the proposes cons for your response.	te that this question is de Bill's aims could be

Q3. Which of the following best expresses your view on setting the Passivhaus standard or a Scottish equivalent as the most appropriate new build housing standards to contribute to eradicating fuel poverty?

Please explain the reasons for your response.

I believe it to be the most efficient standard.

Q4. Which of the following best expresses your view on setting the Passivhaus standard or a Scottish equivalent as the most appropriate new build housing standards to contribute to a reduction in emissions?

Fully supportive

Please explain the reasons for your response.

I believe it to be the most efficient method.

Q5. Which of the following best expresses your view of the process set out to ensure that the new standards are met in all new build housing? (see pages 14 to 16 in the consultation document)

Fully supportive

Please explain the reasons for your response, including your views on how effective the process would be in removing the 'performance gap' and on how the proposed verification process might work in practice.

The backlog will constantly increase if Passivhaus isn't used.

Q6. What could be the market effects of the introduction of this proposal?

No Response

Financial Implications

Q7. Any new law can have a financial impact which would affect individuals, businesses, the public sector, or others. What financial impact do you think this proposal could have if it became law?

some increase in costs

Please explain the reasons for your answer, including whom you would expect to feel the financial impact of the proposal, and if there are any ways you think the proposal could be delivered more cost-effectively.

I believe that training and knowledge acquirement in these standards and building practices will be incurred. A short to mid term cost that will lead to a long term benefit.

Equalities

Q8. Any new law can have an impact on different individuals in society, for example as a result of their age, disability, gender re-assignment, marriage and civil partnership status, pregnancy and maternity, race, religion or belief, sex or sexual orientation.

What impact could this proposal have on particular people if it became law? If you do not have a view skip to next question.

Please explain the reasons for your answer and if there are any ways you think the proposal could avoid negative impacts on particular people.

No Response

Sustainability

Q9. Any new law can impact on work to protect and enhance the environment, achieve a sustainable economy, and create a strong, healthy, and just society for future generations.

Do you think the proposal could impact in any of these areas? If you do not have a view then skip to next question

Please explain the reasons for your answer, including what you think the impact of the proposal could be, and if there are any ways you think the proposal could avoid negative impacts?

I think it will be a benefit to our society. It will lead to better standards and practices and there will be knock on societal benefits.

General

Q10. Do you have any other additional comments or suggestions on the proposed Bill (which have not already been covered in any of your responses to earlier questions)?

No Response