Proposed Domestic Building Environmental Standards (Scotland) Bill

Introduction

A proposal for a Bill to introduce new minimum environmental design standards for all new-build housing to meet the Passivhaus standard or a Scottish equivalent in order to improve energy efficiency and thermal performance.

The consultation runs from 4 May 2022 to 27 July 2022

All those wishing to respond to the consultation are strongly encouraged to enter their responses electronically through this survey. This makes collation of responses much simpler and quicker. However, the option also exists of sending in a separate response (in hard copy or by other electronic means such as e-mail), and details of how to do so are included in the member's consultation document.

Questions marked with an asterisk (*) require an answer.

All responses must include a name and contact details. Names will only be published if you give us permission, and contact details are never published – but we may use them to contact you if there is a query about your response. If you do not include a name and/or contact details, we may have to disregard your response.

Please note that you must complete the survey in order for your response to be accepted. If you don't wish to complete the survey in a single session, you can choose "Save and Continue later" at any point. Whilst you have the option to skip particular questions, you must continue to the end of the survey and press "Submit" to have your response fully recorded.

Please ensure you have read the consultation document before responding to any of the questions that follow. In particular, you should read the information contained in the document about how your response will be handled. The consultation document is available here:

Consultation Document

Privacy Notice

I confirm that I have read and understood the Privacy Notice which explains how my personal data will be used.

About you

Please choose whether you are responding as an individual or on behalf of an organisation.
Note: If you choose "individual" and consent to have the response published, it will appear under your owr
name. If you choose "on behalf of an organisation" and consent to have the response published, it will be
published under the organisation's name.

an	in	d١	١/١	dι	ıal
an	111	uı	VI	uι	ıaı

Which of the following best describes you? (If you are a professional or academic, but not in a subject relevant to the consultation, please choose "Member of the public".)

Member of the public

Please select the ca	egory which best describes your organisation
No Response	
Please choose one	f the following:
I am content for this	esponse to be published and attributed to me or my organisation
if you are submitting (Note: the name will	Full Name or the name of your organisation. (Only give the name of your organisation a response on its behalf). not be published if you have asked for the response to be anonymous or "not for se this is the name that will be published with your response).
Vincent Mills	
	s of a way in which we can contact you if there are queries regarding your response. If you can also provide a postal address or phone number.
We will not publish t	ese details.
m and appro	ach - Note: All answers to the questions in this sectio
• •	ach - Note: All answers to the questions in this sectioned (unless your response is "not for publication").
ay be publish	•
Q1. Which of the foll compulsory.	ed (unless your response is "not for publication").
Q1. Which of the foll compulsory.	ed (unless your response is "not for publication").
Q1. Which of the following compulsory. Fully supportive Q2. Do you think leg	ed (unless your response is "not for publication").
Q1. Which of the following compulsory. Fully supportive Q2. Do you think legachieved more effects	wing best expresses your view of the proposed Bill? Please note that this question is slation is required, or are there other ways in which the proposed Bill's aims could be ively? Please explain the reasons for your response.
Q1. Which of the following compulsory. Fully supportive Q2. Do you think legachieved more effect Yes legislation is re	wing best expresses your view of the proposed Bill? Please note that this question is slation is required, or are there other ways in which the proposed Bill's aims could be ively? Please explain the reasons for your response.
Q1. Which of the following compulsory. Fully supportive Q2. Do you think legachieved more effect Yes legislation is republic sector budge	wing best expresses your view of the proposed Bill? Please note that this question is slation is required, or are there other ways in which the proposed Bill's aims could be ively? Please explain the reasons for your response.
Q1. Which of the following compulsory. Fully supportive Q2. Do you think legachieved more effect Yes legislation is republic sector budge	wing best expresses your view of the proposed Bill? Please note that this question is slation is required, or are there other ways in which the proposed Bill's aims could be ively? Please explain the reasons for your response. uired. Voluntary arrangements of any sort are always under pressure either from sor private profit.

Q3. Which of the following best expresses your view on setting the Passivhaus standard or a Scottish equivalent as the most appropriate new build housing standards to contribute to eradicating fuel poverty?

new and existing buildings optimised for a decarbonised grid and augmented for occupant health and wellbeing.

Q4. Which of the following best expresses your view on setting the Passivhaus standard or a Scottish equivalent as the most appropriate new build housing standards to contribute to a reduction in emissions?

Fully supportive

Please explain the reasons for your response.

Recent report highlights problems such as the performance gap, seasonal energy storage, and limits to deploying renewable energy via the current national grid; they show that Passivhaus is the only realistic way to achieve Zero Carbon without massive renewable energy expansion coupled with a significant investment in grid capacity.

Q5. Which of the following best expresses your view of the process set out to ensure that the new standards are met in all new build housing? (see pages 14 to 16 in the consultation document)

Fully supportive

Please explain the reasons for your response, including your views on how effective the process would be in removing the 'performance gap' and on how the proposed verification process might work in practice.

I would favour a system where verifiers are entirely separate from all the other parties involved in the process. A number of quality systems, for example ISO, suffer from the fact that the company being audited is paying for the audit. An entirely independent verification process would ensure no performance gap.

Q6. What could be the market effects of the introduction of this proposal?

It would drive out poor quality builders which might have some immediate impact on house completions initially, but ultimately lead to a better quality product with greater customer satisfaction and better social outcomes.

Financial Implications

Q7. Any new law can have a financial impact which would affect individuals, businesses, the public sector, or others. What financial impact do you think this proposal could have if it became law?

some increase in costs

Please explain the reasons for your answer, including whom you would expect to feel the financial impact of the proposal, and if there are any ways you think the proposal could be delivered more cost-effectively.

Builders would need to acquaint themselves with the standard, incurring training costs, and then potentially

Q7. Any new law can have a financial impact which would affect individuals, businesses, the public sector, or others. What financial impact do you think this proposal could have if it became law?

increase the quality of inputs. However existing bodies like CITB could help collectivise training costs. Training could include the most effective cost control techniques.

Equalities

Q8. Any new law can have an impact on different individuals in society, for example as a result of their age, disability, gender re-assignment, marriage and civil partnership status, pregnancy and maternity, race, religion or belief, sex or sexual orientation.

What impact could this proposal have on particular people if it became law? If you do not have a view skip to next question.

Please explain the reasons for your answer and if there are any ways you think the proposal could avoid negative impacts on particular people.

Everyone regardless of the categories identified above needs decent housing. Any new law would therefore be neutral in relation to equalities legislation.

Sustainability

Q9. Any new law can impact on work to protect and enhance the environment, achieve a sustainable economy, and create a strong, healthy, and just society for future generations.

Do you think the proposal could impact in any of these areas? If you do not have a view then skip to next question

Please explain the reasons for your answer, including what you think the impact of the proposal could be, and if there are any ways you think the proposal could avoid negative impacts?

By definition a law being introduced to help a zero carbon goal for new housing, must improve the environment.

General

Q10. Do you have any other additional comments or suggestions on the proposed Bill (which have not already been covered in any of your responses to earlier questions)?

NO